

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/427,639	. 10	/27/1999	SHUNPEI YAMAZAKI	0756-2053	3558		
22204	7590	10/02/2002					
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE				EXAMINER			
SUITE 800	2BOKO D	KIVE	NELSON, ALECIA DIANE				
MCLEAN, V	A 22102			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
				2675	THE EXTRONOLIS		
				DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	13		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

loci

a		Application No.	Applicant(s)	H
1	Advisory Action	09/427,639	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	IV
	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alecia D Nelson	2675	
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	5
Therefinal re conditi	EPLY FILED 05 September 2002 FAILS TO PLA ore, further action by the applicant is required to a jection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (* on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe- nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper reply to ch places the application	to a on in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
nave bee 37 CFR (b) above	· · · · —	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See N I 36(a) and the appropriate extension. The appropriate extension or (2) a	MPEP tension fee ion fee under as set forth in
:	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a)	☐ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
. ,	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d)	☐ they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE:			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	mendment
5.	The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT	place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			d an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	•		
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected:			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	The proposed drawing correction filed on is			er.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme Other:	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Dav U	lv
			Language Language &	